OPPENHEIMER

OPPENHEIMER WOLFF & DONNELLY LLP

Plaza VII, Suite 3300 45 South Seventh Street Minneapolis, MN 55402-1609 www.Oppenheimer.com

Direct: Main:

Fax:

\$

(612) 607-7340 (612) 607-7000 (612) 607-7100 E-Mail: RHofmann@oppenheimer.com

November 8, 2006

Examiner Kirsten Sachwitz Apple Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Re: Advisory Action dated November 2, 2006 for

> **Application No.: 09/704,838** Filed: November 1, 2000

Title: A USER INTERFACE FOR A FINANCIAL MODELING SYSTEM

Our File No.: 60021-375901

Dear Examiner Apple:

We received the subject piece of correspondence on November 6, 2006. We believe this must be a mistake, as we just received a final Office Action dated October 20, 2006, from your office, which we have not responded to yet. Hence, we are returning this piece of correspondence to you. Should you want to discuss this piece of correspondence, please feel free to contact me at the above-listed number.

Very truly yours

Kudolph 🏻 **M**ofmann

RPH:ib Enclosure

OPPENHEIMER: 2464439 v01 11/08/2006



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2006

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/704,838 11/01/2000 Ronald E. Sloan 60021.375901 4308 29838 7590 11/02/2006 **EXAMINER** OPPENHEIMER WOLFF & DONNELLY, LLP APPLE, KIRSTEN SACHWITZ PLAZA VII, SUITE 3300 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 45 SQUTH SEVENTH STREET MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-1609 3693

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

OWD Docketing

OFE TO			
a none w	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action Before the filing of an Appeal Brief	09/704,838	SLOAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kirsten S. Apple	3693	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 25 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:			
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-14</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons stated in the last office action filled 2/15/06.			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

13. Other: ____.

Part of Paper No. 20061027

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the limitations added to claims 12 & 13 raise new issues...

ELLA COLBERT

2